



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,178	02/20/2004	Jannick Rolland	UCF-371	7293
23717	7590	06/03/2005	EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER 101 BREVARD AVENUE COCOA, FL 32922			HARRINGTON, ALICIA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

NOTICE OF FEE DEFICIENCY

The informality regarding the payment of the fee is indicated below in connection with

10/183178

the original filing of the application and/or preliminary amendment (e.g. additional claim fees)

the reply filed on _____ The reply is not fully responsive to the prior Office action

because of the _____ following matter(s). See 37 CFR 1.111 and 37 CFR 1.135.

FEE(S) DUE

1. The reply (e.g., amendment) is considered incomplete in that the funds in Deposit Account No. _____ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance* is due within the time period set below.

2. The reply (e.g., amendment) is considered incomplete in that the Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to _____ Account (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused.

The balance* is due within the time period set below.

3. The reply (e.g., amendment) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record. Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set below.

4. The filing fee of \$ _____ submitted in this application is insufficient. A balance of \$ 50 is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(b) & (c)).

5. Other.

Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):

See attachment

APPLICANT IS GIVEN A TIME PERIOD OF ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, WITHIN WHICH TO REMIT THE FEES DUE IN ORDER TO AVOID ABANDONMENT. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

THE INDICATED AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE YEARLY ON OCTOBER 1 (37 CFR 1.16 & 1.21). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS DETERMINED AS OF THE DATE A COMPLETE REPLY IS RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE (37 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE AMOUNT DUE IS NOT NECESSARILY THE FEE INDICATED ABOVE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT APPLICANT CHECK THE CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE WHICH IS POSTED ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm>

Service Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing each payment refused (including a check returned "unpaid") or charged back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). There is a \$25.00 service charge for each month when the balance of a deposit account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 CFR 1.21(b)(2)).

Sheila K. O'KON
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) or Clerk of Group

Inquires regarding this Notice should be addressed to the above at

571-272-1603

(insert Phone Number).

Serial # 10/783,178

On the 25th of February 2005 when the non-compliant amendment was filed, \$50 paid for two extra claims. However, because the text for claims for 8 & 11 were not presented, the applicant was never charged for the extra two, which would have meant a total of 24 claims and not 22 claims as previously noted.